

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.07.2019.

O.A.No.514 of 2019

P. H. Pharande .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the impugned order dated 26.03.2019 issued by District Treasury, Satara addressed to office of A.G. Mumbai for stoppage of pension of the Applicant with immediate effect. In fact, the Applicant stands retired in 2014 and all the service benefits were extended to him including regular pension till passing of the impugned order. The impugned order seems to have been issued in view of reference of name of the Applicant in O.A.No.975/2018 decided by this Tribunal on merit on 16.04.2019 whereby the O.A. was allowed and directions were issued to release the regular pension to Applicant Snri Lambhate.
3. The Applicant seems to be similarly situated person. As O.A.975/2018 is decided on merit, the Applicant prima-facie seems entitled to the benefit of said judgment and abrupt stoppage of pension which the Applicant is enjoying from 2014 is prima-facie unjust. No prior notice before stoppage of pension was issued. Pension seems to have been stopped on the ground that his service was not regular.
4. On last date, though specific directions were given to learned P.O. to take instructions about finality of judgment passed in O.A.975/2018, today learned P.O. again requested for grant of time to take instructions from the department.
5. In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim relief so that the pension which the Applicant was getting till passing of the impugned order. Prima-facie he is entitled to the benefit of decision in O.A.No.975/2018 on the point of absorption and regularization in service.
6. In view of above, interim relief is granted in view of prayer clause 10(a) is granted.
7. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.
8. The matter is adjourned for filing repl
9. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

^  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(I)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="651 437 850 466">Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="716 495 1247 555">M.A. No.322 of 2019 with M.A.No.343 of 2019 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p data-bbox="651 577 1224 614">T. E. Sangale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="651 643 727 672">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="651 703 1235 741">The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="646 769 1312 990">1. Heard Shri Agashe, learned Counsel for the Applicants in M.A. Nos.322 and 343 of 2019, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant in O.A.1019/2015 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="646 1013 1312 1380">2. The judgment passed by this Tribunal in O.A.1019/2015 has been set aside by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.11725/2018 decided on 22.02.2019 and the matter has been remanded to this Tribunal. While remanding the matter, the Hon'ble High Court granted liberty to original applicant to implead Sub-Inspectors from other two categories in representative capacities so that the interest of other two categories will be safeguarded.</p> <p data-bbox="646 1402 1312 1468">3. In view of the liberty granted by Hon'ble High Court these two M.A.s are filed.</p> <p data-bbox="646 1490 1312 1756">4. M.A.322/2019 is filed for permission to join the applicants therein as Co-Applicants in O.A.1019/2015. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant has no objection to add these applicants as Co-Applicants in O.A.1019/2015 stating that they are similarly situated persons on par with original Applicant.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Cnram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directinns and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

5. Whereas, M.A.No.343/2019 is filed by Smt. Mahajan to add Sub-Inspectors from other two categories in representative capacities as Respondent Nos.5 to 7 and 8 belonging to category of Rule 3(b) of Police Sub Inspector (Recruitment) Rules, 1995.

6. One more person namely Shri Suryakant Thorat is also named in M.A.No.343/2019 stating that he belongs to category of Rule 3(a) of Police Sub Inspector (Recruitment) Rule, 1995. In so far as Shri Suryakant Thorat is concerned, learned Counsel for the Applicant submitted that he needs to be impleaded as Respondent No.4 and not as co-applicant as he is likely to be affected person.

7. Learned P.O. stated that she has no objection to implead all the above persons as requested in Misc. Applications.

8. In view of above, the Applicants named in M.A.322/2019 be impleaded as co-applicants.

9. Whereas, the persons named in M.A.No.343/2019 as per scheduled (page no.18 of M.A.) be added as Respondent No.4, Respondent Nos.5 to 7 and Respondent No.8.

10. Amendment be carried out within seven days.

11. Accordingly, Misc. Applications are disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.53 of 2017**

J. J. Khaire ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. The Applicant and her Counsel are absent. Ms. N. G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondent Nos.1 to 3. None is present for Respondent No.4.

2. The Respondent No.1 as well as Respondent No.4 have already filed their Affidavit-in-Reply.

3. The matter pertains to appointment of Police Patil and needs to be expedited.

4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

5. S.O. to 24.07.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(vsm)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.606 of 2019

Shri M.B. Shahane ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. The Applicant seems to have been aggrieved by the transfer order dated 30.05.2017, whereby he was transferred from the office of Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to the office of Collector, Ahmednagar, Dist. Ahmednagar and in turn by virtue of order passed by the Collector, Ahmednagar, he was posted at Karjat, Dist. Ahmednagar. He had filed the representation dated 03.06.2019 before the Respondent No.2 i.e. Divisional Commissioner, Revenue Division, Nashik Division, Nashik for cancellation of transfer and to accommodate him at Nashik.

3. In the present O.A., limited relief is sought for direction to the Respondent No.2 to consider his representation.

4. In view of above, O.A. can be disposed of with suitable direction. Hence the following order.

**ORDER**

- (A) Original Application is disposed of with direction to Respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 03.06.2019 made by the Applicant and to pass appropriate order as per rules within two months from today.
- (B) If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the order, he may avail further remedy in accordance to law.
- (C) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(vsm)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.607 of 2019

A. D. Desale ... Applicant  
Vs. ... Respondents  
The State of Mah. & ors.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 17.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(vsm)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.06.2019.

O.A.No.514 of 2019

P. H. Pharande .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 26.03.2019 issued by District Treasury, Satara addressed to office of A.G. Mumbai for stoppage of pension of the Applicant with immediate effect. The Applicant stands retired in 2014 and all the service benefits were extended to him. He was getting regular pension till impugned order.

3. The issue seems relating to absorption and regularization in service. In O.A. No.975/2018 filed by Shri Balasaheb Lambhate in similar situation a communication for stoppage of pension was challenged. The Applicant appears to be similarly situated person. O.A. No.975/2018 is decided on merit on 16.04.2019. It appears that prima-facie the Applicant's case is governed by the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018.

4. In view of above, it is necessary to know about the finality of the judgment in O.A. No.975/2018. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are, therefore, required to make their stand clear.

5. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 are, therefore, directed to clarify as to why the benefit of the judgment in O.A.No.975/2018 can't be extended to the present Applicant and shall apprise the Tribunal about the steps taken by them in respect of implementation of the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018.

6. Learned P.O. is directed to apprise the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 about the observations made by the Tribunal as above and to take instructions so that this O.A. could be disposed of expeditiously. The issue of interim relief will be considered on next date.

7. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A.598/2019**

**Shri A.B. Deshpande** ... Applicant  
**Vs.**  
**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.604/2019

Shri A.C. Gherade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.605/2019

Smt. M.A. Sarvade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard K.R. Jagdale and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 31<sup>st</sup> July, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1060 of 2017</p> <p>S. S. Charankar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.234 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. V. R. Bhailume .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 28.02.2019 which was the day of retirement of the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that though the period of more than five months is over, no steps have been taken by the Respondents for issuance of charge-sheet nor provisional pension has been granted to the Applicant. Whereas, the learned P.O. seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted as a last chance to file reply with direction to the Respondents to take appropriate steps immediately for grant of provisional pension and compliance be reported on the next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 17.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1016 of 2018</p> <p>C. S. Atkar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hire holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of all Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.07.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.617 of 2018</p> <p>S. V. Pardeshi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission but the Applicant and his Counsel both are absent though the matter was called out in the morning session as well as in the afternoon session.</p> <p>3. Original Application is, therefore, dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.No.399 of 2018</u></b></p> <p><b>P. V. Korpade</b> ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Vs.</b></p> <p><b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for Respondents.</li> <li>2. This matter was on yesterday's board for hearing at the stage of admission but it being declared holiday, the matter is taken on today's board.</li> <li>3. As the Applicant and his Counsel are absent, the matter is adjourned for hearing to give one opportunity to them.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 24.07.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. Nos.498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507 and 508 of 2019</u></p> <p>R. D. Nanaware &amp; Ors.                      ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. &amp; ors.                      ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in O.A.Nos.502, 503 and 508/2019. Those are taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">~ Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.No.383 of 2019</u></b></p> <p>S. S. Jadhav <span style="float: right;">... Applicant</span> Vs. The State of Mah. &amp; ors. <span style="float: right;">... Respondents</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A.</li><li>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A.</li><li>4. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 03.07.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**O.A. No.344 of 2019**

R. S. Rathod ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply is under typing and will be filed during the course of hearing. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 23.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
03.07.2019

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.As.No.923, 1001 & 1023 of 2018
<p>DATE : <u>3/7/2019</u>  CORAM :  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Mr. P. N. Dixit Member (A) - V/C A  APPEARANCE :  Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u>  Advocate Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjekar</u>  C.I. /P.O. for the Respondent/s  Adj./ S.O. to <u>8/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MIT</i> <i>S.P.</i></p>	<p>S.M. Nilkanth  P.M. Koli  A.A. Bhagwan &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks adjournment for getting instructions.  3. S.O. to 8.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  3.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**M.A 319/2019 in O.A 195/2018**

A.K Ligade & Ors ... Intervenor  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the Intervenor and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. M.A allowed. Applicant herein be added as Respondents. Applicant should carry out necessary amendment in O.A.

DATE: 3/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V.C. & A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere.....

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad

C.F. /P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to M.A. allowed

*[Handwritten signature]*

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Alm

Sd/-

*[Handwritten signature]*  
A.H Joshi, J.Y. *[Handwritten initials]*  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.888 of 2018 with M.A. No.224 of 2019
<p>DATE: <u>31/7/2019</u>  CORAM:  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) - VC - A  APPEAR:  Shri/Smt. <u>Kaustubh Gidh Ld. Adv.</u>  holding for Shri Kranti L.C.  Ld. Advocate for Applicant  Shri/Smt. <u>Neelima Gohad</u>  C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s  Adj./ S.O. to <u>16/7/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sgj</i></p>	<p>A.S. Shirsath &amp; Ors. ..Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)  3.7.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

~~M.A./R.A./C.A. No.~~ <sup>25/19</sup> <sup>14</sup> of 20

IN

Original Application No. **828** of 20 **18**.

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>3/7/19</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>D.J. Ambilwade by person present</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to .....</p> <p><i>CA to come up for hearing after decision of O.A.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>C.A.No.25 of 2019 in O.A.No.828 of 2018</p> <p>D.J. Ambilwade ..... Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.J. Ambilwade, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. C.A. to come up for hearing after decision of O.A..</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) <i>[Signature]</i> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.241/2019</u></p> <p><b>Shri V.V. Punathil</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23<sup>rd</sup> July, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member-J 03.07.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.07.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.699 of 2018</p> <p>P. S. Sonkananli .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission which pertains to the appointment of Police Patil.</p> <p>3. The Applicant seems not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. Original Application is, therefore, dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

03.07.2019

O.A 195/2018

Shri A. T Zanje ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate Shri Dere waives service for added Respondents.
3. Learned advocate prays for time for filing reply. A weeks' time is granted.
4. S.O to 16.7.2019.

3/2/2019  
 COFFER:  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) — ✓/C-A  
 APPEARANCE:  
 Shri/Smt. D. B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant  
 Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad  
 C. / P.O. for the Respondent/s  
 Ad/ S.O. to 16/7/2019

Sd/-  
 (P.N. Dixit)  
 Vice-Chairman (A)  
 Akn

Sd/-  
 (A.H Joshi, J)  
 Chairman

*Be*

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/19</u>  CORAM:  Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  Hon'ble Justice D. B. Khair (Member (A)) - v/c - A  APPEARANCE:  Sd/- <u>D. B. Khair</u>  Advocate for the Applicant:  Shri/Smt. <u>Neelima Gohad</u>  C.F. P.O. for the Respondent/s  Adj./S.O. to <u>Issue fresh notice</u>  <u>after amendment returnable</u>  <u>on 16/7/2019</u></p>	<p><u>03.07.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1052/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A. T Zanje ... Applicants  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khair, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays that he wants leave to amend for substituting memo of O.A for incorporating subsequent development on record.</p> <p>3. Leave for substitution is granted. Learned advocate undertakes to carry out the substitution today.</p> <p>4. In view that O.A is substituted, affidavit filed by state be removed and kept in second part.</p> <p>5. Issue fresh notice after amendment returnable on 16.7.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O to 16.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit)  Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.H Joshi, J)  Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.482 of 2019
---	--

B.M. Yadav ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 & 2 and Shri Abhijeet M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5.

2. Ld. CPO states that reply would be filed during the course of the day.

3. Ld. Advocate for Respondents No.3 to 5 states that reply would be filed during the course of the day and seeks early hearing of the matter as the ad-interim relief has been granted and which is adversely effecting him.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks time to file rejoinder.

5. S.O. to 9.7.2019 for hearing before Division Bench.

DATE: 3/7/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) — VC - A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Punam Mahajan

Ld Advocate: applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Mahajan  
C.F. JRO, for the Respondent/s 1 & 2.  
Shri Abhijeet M. Deshmukh Ld. Adv.  
Adj. S.O. to for R. No. 3 to 5.

S.O. to 9/7/2019 for hearing before Division Bench.

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.7.2019

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 3/7/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C-A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. Vrushali Mairdad, i/b Shri A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Kranti S. Gaikwad C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to 8/7/2019</p>	<p><b>03.07.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A no 21/2019</u></b></p> <p>Shri R.R Bhongade &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Vrushali Mairdad, i/b Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for permission to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Permission to file rejoinder is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**M.A 321/2019 in O.A 886/2017**

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants  
(Ori Respondents)

Vs.

Shri S.H Sakharwade ... Respondent  
(Ori Applicant)

1. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).

2. Perused the Misc Application. The application does not contain even single reason as to why decision to challenge the order passed in O.A 886/2017 was delayed.

3. Request for extension of time is rejected. M.A is dismissed.

DATE: 3/7/19

CORAM:  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A) V/C - A

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar  
Ld. C.P.O.  
Advocate for the Applicant Comp. R.B.

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar  
Ld. Adv. for the Respondents Comp. A.H.

Adj./ S.O. to M.A. is dismissed

*[Signature]*

Sd/-

(P. N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi)  
Chairman



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. Punam Mahajan</p> <p>4. Advocate for the Applicant. &lt; O.A. 916/2016 &gt; Shri M.D. Lonkar Ld. Adv. for App. Shri/Smt. S.P. Manoharkar &lt; O.A. 1099/2016 &gt; C.F. J/P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3. Adv. A.V. Bandiwadkar for A. 4 to 16. Adj. S.O. to ..... <u>4/7/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Ble</i></p>	<p><u>03.07.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 916/2016 with M.A 468/2018 in O.A 916/2016 with O.A 1099/2016</u></p> <p>Shri M.B. Patil &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants in O.A 916/2016, Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for applicants in O.A 1099/2016, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for Res. Nos 4 to 16.</p> <p>2. Learned CPO is directed to secure instructions as to the reason and stage where the file is stagnated and ensure that it moves ahead as far as possible overnight.</p> <p>3. S.O to 4.7.2019.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P. N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) A.S.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. JOSHI) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**O.A 943/2016**

**DATE:** 31/7/19.

**CORAM:**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/7/19.

*l*

Dr C.G Gaikwad ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Due to paucity of time, S.O to 4.7.2019.

)  
Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**R.A 3/2017 in O.A 546/2016**

Shri A.L Jadhav ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

**DATE :** 3/7/19.  
**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. R. G. Panchal.  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/7/19 as per request of Cd PO.

*[Handwritten signature]*

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O to 4.7.2019.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Sd/-

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman**

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**C.A 23/2019 in O.A No 76/2018**

DATE : 21/7/19.

CORAM :  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:  
Shri/Smt. : K R Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : M G Gohad  
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. C.O. to : Head

*disposal of as  
withdrawn with liberty  
to file fresh  
C.A.*

*e*

Shri N.P. Waghmare ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh contempt after personal notice if occasion arises.

*D*  
Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

Akn

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**03.07.2019**

**O.A No 466 with 467/2019**

Dr R. D Katke (O.A 466/2019)  
 Dr M.S Rewadkar (O.A 467/2019) ... Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, S.O to 18.7.2019.

DATE : 3/7/19.

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adv/S.O. to 18/7/19 as the

advocate of the applicant  
for the applicant.

*2*

Sd/-

**(A.H Joshi J.)  
Chairman**

Akn

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.338 of 2019
<p>DATE: 3/7/2019</p> <p>FORAM: Honble Sbn. P.N. Dixit (VIC-A)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri M. B. Kadam (Member) A</p> <p>Advocate: M. B. Kadam holding for Shri. J. N. Kamble</p> <p>Advocate: S. P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O. / Presenting Officer: _____</p> <p>Ad. To: 4/7/2019</p> 	<p>C.S. Bharude ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that copy of OA has not been served in their office. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that copy of OA is served in the office of CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.7.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>3.7.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.817 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/2019</u></p> <p>GOVERNOR: <u>Honble. Sri. P.N. Dixit (VIL-A)</u> (sg)</p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>H. Jeshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Head of Bench: <u>Sri. Kamal Kumar (Member) A</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>None for the Applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondents: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s: _____</p> <p>Adv To: <u>8/07/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>8</u></p>	<p>R.V. Khandekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.7.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.14 of 2018</u>
---	--

Dr. R.S. Shinge ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and  
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file  
rejoinder.

3. S.O. to 15.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 3/7/2019

FORAM: Honble Shri. P.N. Dixit (VK-A)

How the Shri. Gaikwad (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri. M.B. Kadam holding for  
Shri. P.V. Patil

Shri. K.S. Gaikwad  
for the Respondents

Adj. To: 15/07/2019



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.313 of 2019
<p>DATE: 8/7/2019</p> <p>BY: Honble Shri. P.N. Dixit (V/CA) (sgj)</p> <p>Honble Shri. S.P. Manchekar (Member) A</p> <p>Smt. Punam Mahajan</p> <p>Smt. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>Ad. To: 16/07/2019</p> 	<p>R.A. Nagargoje &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.7.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1134 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>3/7/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A Hon'ble Smt. P.N. Dixit (VICA)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Smt. Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Smt/Smt.: S. P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv. To: <u>OA-B allowed to be withdrawn.</u></p> <p>Adv. To: _____</p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s: _____</p> <p>Smt/Smt.: _____ Advocate for the Applicant: _____</p> <p>Smt/Smt.: _____ Advocate for the Applicant: _____</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>CORAM: DATE: _____</p>	<p>R.K. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders withdrawal application dated 28.6.2019 of the applicant and seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to file fresh OA, if required. Application dated 28.6.2019 is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, OA is allowed to be withdrawn with above liberty and disposed off as such.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 3.7.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.223 of 2019
---	--

P.P. Patil ..Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.  
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer  
for the Respondents.

2. I.d. CPO states that reply has been filed.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)  
3.7.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 3/7/2019  
CORAM: Shri. P.N. Dixit (VIC-A)  
Hon. Justice S.P. Manchekar (Chairman)  
Hon. Justice K. Manoharkumar (Member) A  
Att. for the Applicant:  
None for the Applicant  
Att. for the Respondents:  
Ms. S.P. Manchekar  
Repl. filed by Applicant.  
Admit.