

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O.A.No.281 of 2019

P. D. Sonawane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant who is removed from the service is seeking direction to the Respondent No.1 to grant compassionate pension as contemplated under Rule 101 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982.
3. The Applicant has been removed from service by the Respondent No.2 - The Commissioner of Police on 11.09.2013. The said order ^{was} confirmed in appeal but review is pending. Now, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal for direction to the Respondent No.1 to grant compassionate pension.
4. As per Rule 101 of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982 the Government servant who is removed from service shall forfeited his pension and gratuity. However, the government is powered to grant compassionate pension not exceeding two-thirds of pension and gratuity in deserving case of special consideration. This being the position, it is for the Respondent No.1 (Government) to consider the claim of the Applicant.
5. The Applicant ought to have file representation with the Respondent No.1 for grant of compassionate pension but instead he has approached this Tribunal directly. Therefore, the O.A. without availing remedy under Rule 101 of MCS (Pension) Rules, 1982 is not maintainable.
6. The Applicant is at liberty to make representation to the Government for grant of compassionate pension and it is for the Government to consider the matter under Rule 101 of Pension Rules, 1982.
7. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.
8. In case, the Government reject the representation of the Applicant he can avail further remedy in accordance to law.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 03.04.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.580 of 2018 in O.A.No.1060 of 2017</p> <p>S. 5. CharankarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply in M.A. No.580/2018. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing along with M.A.No.579/2018 in O.A.No.1059/2017.</p> <p>4. S. O. to 25.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
(Advocate) vsm (A.P. Kurhekar) Applicant/s
Member(J)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 03.04.2019.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No. 303 of 2019</p> <p>M. N. ThosareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the Government has already taken decision to revoke the suspension of the Applicant and to reinstate him in service. He has also tendered a copy of Minutes of Meeting of the Review Committee which shows that the Review Committee has taken decision on 27.03.2019. However, the posting orders are not issued. 3. Learned P.O. submitted that the posting order will be issued within a week. 4. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for a week for issuance of posting order by the Respondent No.1. 5. This Tribunal hope that in view of the decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.691/2018 as well as the decision dated 27.03.2019, the positing order will be issued within a week. 6. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed. 7. S.O. to 10.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">n Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>D.A.No.170 of 2019</p> <p>T. B. BorateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O.A.No.1091 of 2017

R. A. Shaikh & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is seeking direction to consider the application dated 06.12.2010 made by the Applicant No.1 as well as to consider the application made by the Applicant No.2 on 02.03.2015 for inclusion of his name in waiting list for the appointment on compassionate ground.
3. However, the Respondents along with the file on 11.01.2019 annexed the order dated 10.03.2017 whereby the application of the applicant dated 02.03.2015 has been rejected. As such, the letter of rejection dated 10.03.2017 is forthcoming for fist time along with affidavit in reply.
4. In view of above, now the Applicant wants to challenge the communication dated 10.03.2017.
5. In fact, his prayer for seeking direction to consider his application for appointment on compassionate ground comprises incidental relief. However, now the order dated 10.03.2017 being tendered on record by the Respondents, the Applicant sought to amend the prayer clause so as to challenge the said order.
6. In view of above, I am satisfied that proposed amendment will not change the nature of the matter and on contrary it is essential to adjudicate the matter. Amended is allowed. It be carried out within three days.
7. S.O. to 12.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.759 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. S. M. KaulApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.175 of 2019 In O.A.No.97 of 2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 103 of 2019</p> <p>B. L. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record, if filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.208 of 2019</p> <p>G. U. RathodApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. in the present matter, the challenge is to the impugned transfer order dated 25.02.2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from the post of Chitnis, Dhule to Tahsildar, Ahmednagar and interim relief has been granted by this Tribunal on 06.03.2019.</p> <p>3. However, today the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the Government order dated 13.03.2019 which shows that the Government has cancelled the impugned order and reposted the Applicant at his earlier post i.e. Chitnis, Dhule.</p> <p>4. Thus, in view of the Government order dated 13.03.2019, the grievance of the Applicant stands redressed.</p> <p>5. For the aforesaid reason, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.176 of 2019 in O. A. No.331 of 2019</p> <p>V. M. Nathjogi & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.5. M.A. is allowed. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O. A. No.331 of 2019

V. M. Nathjogi & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. - ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 18.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No. 260 of 2019</p> <p>P. B. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. S. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the very outset, the learned P.O. for the Respondents pointed out that the Applicant is serving at Jalgaon and, therefore, the present petition out to have been filed before the Aurangabad Bench and this Tribunal has no jurisdiction.</p> <p>3. When this aspect is brought to the learned Advocate for the Applicant, he fairly stated that he would file the O.A. before the Aurangabad Bench and prayed to withdraw the same with liberty.</p> <p>4. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. before the Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.174 of 2019 in O. A. No.328 of 2019</p> <p>P. H. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri. A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.5. M.A. is allowed. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="773 423 976 454">Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="987 486 1211 517">O. A. No.328 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="768 528 1338 575">P. H. Rathod & Ors.Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="768 595 841 622">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="763 658 1338 705">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol data-bbox="716 723 1422 1944" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="716 723 1422 840">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.<li data-bbox="716 860 1422 931">2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.04.2019.<li data-bbox="716 958 1422 1066">3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.<li data-bbox="716 1093 1422 1294">4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.<li data-bbox="716 1312 1422 1460">5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.<li data-bbox="716 1487 1422 1675">6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.<li data-bbox="716 1702 1422 1877">7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.<li data-bbox="716 1912 1000 1944">8. S.O. to 18.04.2019. <p data-bbox="1114 1984 1175 2016">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1049 2065 1214 2123">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="708 2119 747 2141">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O. A. No.323 of 2019

A. T. RajamApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 06.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O. A. No.321 of 2019

S. A. KoyandeApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 06.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 03.04.2019.

O. A. No.322 of 2019

M. Y. Raorane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 06.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 03.04.2019. Tribunal's orders

O. A. No.324 of 2019

K. A. Dhamapurkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K. , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of D.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 30.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.325 of 2019</p> <p>R. D. AndherApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.04.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 30.04.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.332 of 2019</p> <p>L. M. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 02.05.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

**(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)**

vsm
versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 03.04.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.175 of 2019 in O.A.No.97 of 2019</p> <p>A. H. ChandekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri P. V. Patil , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant seeks permission to amend the O.A. to challenge the order passed by the Respondents dated 28.01.2019 which has been passed during pendency of this application.</p> <p>3. It being subsequent event, the amendment needs to be allowed.</p> <p>4. Allowed to amend the O.A. Amendment be carried out within three days from today.</p> <p>5. The Respondents are at liberty to file reply of amended application.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 18.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.871 of 2018

S.R. Durape ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks adjournment till 5.4.2019 for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 5.4.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
3.4.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 3/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. ... (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate
for the Applicant are absent

Shri/Smt. Ms. Neelima Gohad

Ld. G.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 5/4/2019

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.1118 of 2018
---	---

S.G. Wadekar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 3.

2. Ld. PO mentions that no instructions have been received from the respondents.

3. The respondent no.1 is directed to depute responsible officer on behalf of the concerned with necessary record on 5.4.2019.

4. S.O. to 5.4.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents and ensure compliance.

DATE: 3/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sr. N.Y. Chavan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sr. Ms. Neelima Gohad

Ld. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 9/4/2019

Steno copy and hamdast is granted.

M.T.A

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)

Member (A)

3.4.2019

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 31/12/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: C. T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: H. G. Gohad & Co. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 25/4/2019: Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">H.T. D.H.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.122 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.N. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that she seeks four weeks time to file reply. Meanwhile, the Ld. Advocate for the applicant draws attention to Rule 3 of Recruitment Rules (pg. 13 of OA) which reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">"3. Appointments by promotion and by nomination shall be made in the ratio 1:2."</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant further refers to communication dated 15.11.2016 (pg. 22 of OA) from respondent no.2. Relevant portion of the same reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">"कारागृह खात्यामधील तुरुंगाधिकारी श्रेणी-२ ची पदोन्नतीने भरावयाचे रिक्त पदांकरिता कारागृह नियमावलीनुसार कारागृहामध्ये कार्यरत असलेल्या पात्र कर्मचा-यांकडून (रक्षक ते सुभेदार, लिपीक, तांत्रिक संवर्ग कर्मचारी) यांचेकडून अर्ज मागविण्यात येवून त्यांची शारिरीक पात्रता, मैदानी परिक्षा घेण्यात येते. यामध्ये पात्र ठरल्यानंतर संबंधीत कर्मचा-यांची लेखी परिक्षा घेण्यात येते. लेखी परिक्षेत पात्र ठरलेल्या कर्मचा-यांची मौखिक परिक्षा घेण्यात येवून गुणव-ता यादी प्रसिद्ध करण्यात येते."</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that respondent no.2 has not held the said examination from 2001. He, therefore, makes a prayer as mentioned in para 9(a) (pg.6 of OA), which reads as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">"9(a) The Hon. Tribunal be pleased to direct the Respondents to take the effective steps to fill up the vacancies lying in the promotional quota, as on 31.12.2018, of the Jailer Group-II immediately i.e. within 01 months from the order passed by this Hon. Tribunal and to complete the same within the period of 4 months from the date of order passed by this Hon. Tribunal."</p> <p>5. The respondent no.2 should mention legal impediment in implementing the instructions quoted above and if the action is affirmative, no affidavit in reply is required.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 25.4.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents and ensure compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 3.4.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.23 of 2019
<p>DATE: 3/4/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Ms. Deepali I. Charlewar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 26/4/2019 by consent</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Dr. N.L. Chutke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Deepa I. Charlewar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. By consent S.O. to 26.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 3.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.100 of 2019
<p>DATE: 3/4/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Manohar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manohar C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To 3/4/2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MS</i> <i>OS</i></p>	<p>Dr. K.L. Pargaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manohar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that no instructions have been received from the respondents.</p> <p>3. The respondent no.1 is directed to depute responsible officer on behalf of the concerned with necessary record on 5.4.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 5.4.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. PO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents and ensure compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 3.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>