

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.981 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S. S. Gargote</b> .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicant has submitted the chart showing details regarding due date of grant of retiral benefits and the dates on which payment was made. It is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.</p> <p>4. However, the dates of payment shown in chart 'X' is disputed by the learned P.O. According to her some of the dates are incorrect. The Applicant is claiming interest on retiral benefits, gratuity, pension etc. and, therefore, it is necessary to find out actual dates of payments of these amounts.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to submit the detailed chart showing the dates of actual payments as per office record. It be filed on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 07.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.598 of 2019</p> <p>A.B. Deshpande ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. Shri S.V. Ailwar, Administrative Officer from the office of Respondent No.4 is present. On his instructions learned P.O. submits that the process of submitting the necessary details to Pay and Accounts is being completed. He proposes to inform the progress on 09.08.2019.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.185 of 2019 in O.A.No.347 of 2019</p> <p>P.A. Gangarde ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents seeks one week time for filing reply in the M.A.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. adjourned to 09.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b><u>02.08.2019</u></b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1138/2018</u></b></p> <p>Smt P.S Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shriu Amol Suryawanshi holding for Shri Manoj Harit &amp; Co, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms S.P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in sur-rejoinder on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 5<sup>th</sup> August, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>02.08.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 380/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri V.J Pagare ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the Respondents have decided his representation on 20.10.2018. Hence, the O.A be disposed of.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, O.A is disposed of as infructuous with liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.503 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. Chavan ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, the learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri U.V. Bhosale submits that the learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble for the Applicant proposes to file rejoinder. Learned Advocate Shri U.B. Bhosale submits that as a last chance he may be given two weeks.</p> <p>3. If the learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble does not comply with the above, then the case may be dismissed.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate Shri U.V. Bhosale adjourned to 23.08.2019. Last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2019

O.A.No.930 of 2017

P.Y. Shinde ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri P.Y. Shinde, Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Respondents are directed to ensure the presence of responsible officers along with the original relevant record on the next date.
3. At the request of Applicant Shri P.Y. Shinde who appears in person adjourned to 30.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.867 of 2017</p> <p>P.V. Valiv ..... Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. According to learned P.O. the said affidavit is enough and replies from other respondents are not required.</p> <p>3. Admitted and kept for Final Hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) ' Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.351 of 2018 with O.A.No.352 of 2018</p> <p>M.A. Patil (O.A.351/2018) G.P. Patil (O.A.352/2018) ..... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents files short affidavit on behalf of Respondent No.3 as directed on 19.07.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicants proposes to file his rejoinder, if any and seeks adjournment for two weeks.</p> <p>4. At the request of learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge adjourned to 23.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 02.08.2019

O.A.No.273 of 2019

S.A. Patil ..... Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Shri S.A. Patil, Applicant in person is absent.
3. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents seeks two weeks.
4. Adjourned to 23.08.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.393 of 2019 with D.A.No.394 of 2019 with O.A.No.396 of 2019</p> <p>P.M. Mire &amp; Ors. (O.A.393/2019) H.H. Gaikwad (O.A.394/2019) J.Y. Walke (O.A.396/2019) ..... Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, Shri S.D. Dole and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant files rejoinder on behalf of the Applicants to the affidavit-in-reply of Respondents No.3 and 4.</p> <p>3. Learned Presenting Officers seeks time for filing sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.553 of 2019</p> <p>P. D. Sutar <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.08.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.08.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.275 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. P. A. Gawhale</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 7. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

~~Date : 02.08.2019.~~

M.A. No.209 of 2019 in O.A.No.391 of 2019  
With  
O.A.No.391 of 2019

A. H. Nikalje .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
3. Misc. Application is filed for condonation of delay in filing O.A.No.391/2019 wherein the Applicant has challenged the order dated 18.06.2016 as well as 26.11.2018. In fact, the order dated 18.06.2016 is internal office order about refixation of pay and the order dated 26.11.2018 is the recovery order issued to the Applicant for depositing excess payment of Rs.2,49,323/-. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2019 by order dated 26.11.2018 recovery is directed from the retirement benefits.
4. It is thus clear that Applicant has counted the period of limitation from order dated 18.06.2016 which is internal communication order of the department whereas the order dated 26.11.2018 is the final order whereby the Applicant was directed to repay alleged excess payment on account of wrong fixation. Thus, from date of impugned order dated 26.11.2018, O.A. is within limitation.
5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.
6. Accordingly, Misc. Application is disposed of.
7. O.A.No.391/2019 is adjourned for filing reply on 19.08.2019.
8. Interim relief to continue in O.A.391/2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 02.08.2019.

M.A. No.209 of 2019 in O.A.No.391 of 2019  
With  
O.A.No.391 of 2019

A. H. Nikalje .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.
3. Misc. Application is filed for condonation of delay in filing O.A.No.391/2019 wherein the Applicant has challenged the order dated 18.06.2016 as well as 26.11.2018. In fact the order dated 18.06.2016 is internal office order about re-fixation of pay and the order dated 26.11.2018 is the recovery order issued to the Applicant for depositing excess payment of Rs.2,49,323/-. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2019 by order dated 26.11.2018 recovery is directed from the retirement benefits.
4. It is thus clear that Applicant has counted the period of limitation from order dated 18.06.2016 which is internal communication order of the department whereas the order dated 26.11.2018 is the final order whereby the Applicant was directed to repay alleged excess payment on account of wrong fixation. Thus, from date of impugned order dated 26.11.2018, O.A. is within limitation.
5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.
6. Accordingly, Misc. Application is disposed of.
7. O.A.No.391/2019 is adjourned for filing reply on 19.08.2019.
8. Interim relief to continue in O.A.391/2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)





Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 02.08.2019.

O.A.No.741 of 2019

K. H. Sable ...Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 26.07.2019 whereby he has been transferred from Otur to Velhe Police Station, Dist. Pune.
3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant sought to contend that it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer without compliance of the provision of Maharashtra Police Act and therefore, prayed for interim relief.
4. Whereas, learned P.O. has tendered the Minutes of P.E.B. before the Tribunal which discloses that the Applicant has been transferred on account of various lapses and illegalities on his part while working as Police Constable. The instances are enumerated in P.E.B. report at item Nos.1 to 15. The transfer has been approved by the P.E.B. at district level. As such, prima-facie, the Applicant is transferred invoking Section 22 N (2) of Maharashtra Police Act.
5. At this stage, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that children of the Applicant are taking education at Junnar in 10<sup>th</sup> standard and B.S.C. third year and, therefore, his transfer at Velhe is highly inconvenient to him. He further submits that his client wants to make representation for transfer at convenient place and O.A. be disposed of with liberty to the Applicant to make representation.
6. In view of above, learned Counsel seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. The Applicant is also present before the Tribunal.
7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of. The Applicant is at liberty to make representation with the Respondents who may consider it on its own merit considering the difficulties of the Applicant.
8. If the representation is made within a week from today, it be decided within a month.
9. Accordingly, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 02.08.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.275 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>Dr. P. A. Gawhale</b> ....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. P. Potbhare, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 7. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.08.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date :- 02.08.2019.</b></p> <p><b>M.A. No.209 of 2019 in O.A.No.391 of 2019 With O.A.No.391 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A. H. Nikalje</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Katharic, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application is filed for condonation of delay in filing O.A.No.391/2019 wherein the Applicant has challenged the order dated 18.06.2016 as well as 26.11.2018. In fact, the order dated 18.06.2016 is internal office order about refixation of pay and the order dated 26.11.2018 is the recovery order issued to the Applicant for depositing excess payment of Rs.2,49,323/-. The Applicant stands retired on 30.04.2019 by order dated 26.11.2018 recovery is directed from the retirement benefits.</p> <p>4. It is thus clear that Applicant has counted the period of limitation from order dated 18.06.2016 which is internal communication order of the department whereas the order dated 26.11.2018 is the final order whereby the Applicant was directed to repay alleged excess payment on account of wrong fixation. Thus, from date of impugned order dated 26.11.2018, O.A. is within limitation.</p> <p>5. In view of above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Misc. Application is disposed of.</p> <p>7. O.A.No.391/2019 is adjourned for filing reply on 19.08.2019.</p> <p>8. Interim relief to continue in O.A.391/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>