

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

.... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

M.A. No.461 of 2019 in O.A.No 349 of 2019

1. Heard Shri Vighnesh Kalgutkar holding for Shri Pramod Londhe, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent and Shri Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for Ori. Applicant.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw M.A.No.461/2019 which is filed for intervention in O.A.No.649/2019.
3. Allowed to withdraw M.A.No.461/2019.
4. In view of above Misc. Application is disposed of with no order as to cost.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.649 of 2019

1. Heard Shri Pawar holding for Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.
2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 16.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.601 of 2019</p> <p>S. J. MandareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>R.A. No.05 of 2020 in O.A.No.1007 of 2018</p> <p>S. T. ChoureApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. R. Kori, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Original Applicant in O.A.1007/2018.</p> <p>2. This is Review Application in respect of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.1007/2018 on 16.12.2019 whereby suspension of the Applicant Shri Himmat Sapale was quashed and set aside and direction were issued to reinstate him on the same post within a month from the date of order. The order passed by this Tribunal was challenged by present Applicant Shri Sandeep Choure before the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.1634/2020. The Hon'ble High Court by judgment dated 11.02.2020 disposed of the Writ Petition without entertaining the petition but granted ad interim relief for the period of two months so as to approach the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. It is on this background, R.A. is filed by Shri Sandeep Choure contending that he is occupying the post held by the Applicant and his suspension, and therefore, he is aggrieved by the directions given by the Tribunal to reinstate the suspended employee on the original post.</p> <p>4. Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for original Applicant (O.A.1007/2018) waived notice and filed reply to R.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that she needs to take instructions as to whether file reply in R.A.</p> <p>6. Review Petitioner is at liberty to file Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>7. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission on 09.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.158 of 2020</p> <p>R. S. KalalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N.G.Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. raising grievance for withholding of retiral benefits though he stands retired on 30.09.2018 .</p> <p>3. Prima-facie, as seen from 'No Enquiry Certificate' dated 03.08.2018 (Page No.9 of PB), no Departmental Enquiry was initiated before retirement of the Applicant. It is, therefore, necessary to know why retiral benefits of the Applicant are withheld.</p> <p>4. At this stage, learned C.P.O. appears and submits that she will take instructions from the department and matter be kept in afternoon session.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.158 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant has filed present O.A. raising grievance for withholding of retiral benefits though he stands retired on 30.09.2018 . 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that Respondent No.2 had issued 'No Enquiry Certificate' on 03.08.2018 (Page No.9 of PB), wherein it is certified that till the date of retirement of the Applicant, no charge sheet was issued to him. 4. However, learned C.P.O. on instructions submits that D.E. was already initiated by issuance of charge sheet in 2015, and therefore, retirement benefits of the Applicant were not released fully. She, therefore, sought time to file reply and to tender the copy of charge sheet. 5. Submission advanced by learned C.P.O. is in fact contrary to the certificate dated 03.08.2018 issued by the Commissioner, Social Welfare, Maharashtra State, Pune. If the charge sheet was really issued in 2015 then how this certificate of 'No Enquiry' is issued, needs to be looked into. <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. In view of above, Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.03.2020.</p> <p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 16.03.2020.</p> <p>13. In view of above, if really any charge sheet has been issued to the Applicant in 2016¹⁵, the Respondent No.2 is required to file Affidavit as to how certificate of 'No Enquiry' has been issued on 03.08.2018.</p> <p>13. Affidavit also be filed along with reply on next date so that matter can be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>14. Steno copy is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus


The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.173 of 2020 with O.A.No.174 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Applicants have challenged the impugned transfer order dated 24.01.2020 issued by the Respondent – Superintendent Of Police, Palghar whereby they were transferred invoking the powers under Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.3. Learned Counsel for the Applicants prayed for interim relief on the ground that Applicants were transferred on alleged default report without initiating regular enquiry.4. Per contra, learned P.O. has tendered file of Minutes of PEB and submits that Applicants were transferred by PEB in view of default report and opposes the grant of interim relief.5. Perusal of Minutes of PEB prima-facie reveals that Applicants were transferred on the ground of default report on administrative exigency invoking Section 22N(2) of Maharashtra Police Act.6. As such, there is prima-facie compliance of provisions of Maharashtra Police Act. I am, therefore, not inclined to grant interim relief. <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2020.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 30.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>O. A. No.1132 of 2019</p> <p>K. S. GhongadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the Tribunal has condoned the delay and allowed the M.A. No.664/2019. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 30.03.2020. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 30.03.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 02.03.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.106 of 2020</p> <p>N. D. HoleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p><u>L.O.</u></p> <p>5. Learned P.O. submits that the concerned officer could not come due to some unavoidable situation and requested to keep the matter on 04.03.2020 for filing of reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 04.03.2020. Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.T.O.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.106 of 2020

N. D. Hole

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

3. Original Application be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.

4. S.O. to 24.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer:.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.98 of 2020

S. D. BarlApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind Bandiwadekar; learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Affidavit-In-Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 18.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.49 of 2020

R. K. Mali

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.

3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to file Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 16.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.1048 of 2019

J. M. Lihitkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Since pleading are complete, Original Application is admitted for final hearing.
4. S.O. to 24.03.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.783 & 802 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.S. Dhanawade D.P. Jagtap ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.988 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.Y. Mokashi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1108 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.D. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 4 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. At his request S.O. to 27.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1174 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.V. Panse ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time for filing reply as copy of OA has been once again handed over to Ld. PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.663 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Panindre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to delete prayer clause 10(b). Leave granted.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO mentions that reply on behalf of respondent no.1 would be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MA.1/19 in OA.2/19, MA.213/19 in OA.402/19, MA.236/19 in OA.433/19, MA.239/19 in OA.436/19 in MA.469/19 in OA.859/19 with MA.471/19 in OA.860/19</u></p> <p>R.S. Bhagwat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. PO files reply in MA.1/2019 in OA.2/2019 on behalf of respondents no.1, 3 & 4 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. During hearing I.d. PO on instructions from Shri S.V. Keni, Desk Officer, Irrigation Department (Labour Establishment), Mantralaya, Mumbai submits that details of information which has been collected would be processed and the schedule for payment in respect of those persons would be submitted within a period of two weeks.</p> <p>4. At her request S.O. to 23.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.516 of 2019 in O.A. No.950 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Inamke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.562 of 2019 in O.A. No.1018 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Patil ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 9.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.683 of 2019 in O.A. No.621 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.K. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 11.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixi) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.47 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.S. Panindre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 7.4.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.729 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant undertakes to file rejoinder during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 16.3.2020 with liberty to file sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 2.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 02.03.2020

O.A.No.484 of 2018

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Matter is adjourned to 17.03.2020 for hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm