

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/4/16</u></p> <p>By <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>By <u>S.R. Atre</u> (Member) A-1</p> <p>By <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>21/4/16 Hamdast</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>skw</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.163/2016</u></p> <p>Shri N.B. Bhandare & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Atre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Mrs. S.N. Pore, Desk Officer of Respondent No.1 - P.W.D and Mrs. S.S. Amrite, Under Secretary of Respondent No.2 - MPSC is present.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.2 is filed today. The Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent No.1 has not been filed although in view of Annexure 'A-8' (Page 32 of the paper book) their categorical stand will help the Tribunal great deal. They have apparently not assisted the Tribunal at all in the manner they should have done. Mr. Atre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant strongly relies upon the just mentioned document in seeking interim relief. Considering the rival submissions and adjourning the matter finally to the 7th April, 2016 for interim orders, I make it clear that an adverse view will be taken of the omission of filing of Affidavit-in-reply by the Respondent No.1, if the Affidavit-in-reply is not filed just before the matter is taken up for consideration of interim relief which might also include conveying adverse remarks and imposition of heavy cost.</p> <p>Adjourned to 7th April, 2016. Hamdast</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> (R.B. Malik) 01.04.16 Member (J) 01.04.2016</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p><u>R.B. Malik</u> (Chairman)</p> <p><u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) A J</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>N.K. Padalkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.I.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Liberty to mention granted.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.1034/2015</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Koli ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.K. Padalkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit-in-rejoinder is taken on record. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  <u>01.04.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 01.04.2016 </div> <p>(skw)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/4/16</u></p> <p>President Hon'ble Mr. <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Mr. <u>R.B. Malik</u> (Member) <u>A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: For the Applicant <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> For the Respondent <u>Mrs. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent's <u>Admit</u> Adj. To: <u>Liberty to mention granted</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>skw</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.127/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.P. Avhad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Shri Chandratre, the learned Advocate states that the Applicant does not want to file Affidavit-in-rejoinder. Admit. Liberty to mention granted.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  <u>21.04.16</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 01.04.2016 </div> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/16</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri Smt. D.M. Patil with K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri Smt. K.B. Bhise P.P.O. for the Respondents No. 1 & 2. Ms. A.J. Patil holding for P.S. Bhavake Adv. Adj. To A.No. V.P. not filed. S.O. 13/4/16. FH/HQB Affidavit of service filed by Applicant.</p>	<p>01.04.2016</p> <p><u>O.A Nos 551, 552 & 553/2014</u></p> <p>Heard Shri D.M. Patil along with Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the Applicants, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 2. Ms A.J Patil, holding for Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate, is although present, but it is not clear for who she is appearing for. No other Vakalatnama has been filed.</p> <p>Learned Advocate Shri Patil makes a statement that all the Respondents have been served. The affidavits of service are taken on record.</p> <p>These Original Applications are now finally adjourned for the additional affidavits of Respondents no 1 & 2, if any and affidavits of other Respondents.</p> <p>S.O to 13.4.2016.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> 1-4-16 (R.B. Malik) Member (J)</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> Rajiv Agarwal (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

O.A. 269/2016

Shri S.B. Nangnure ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri M.R. Patil with Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Smt. V.K. Jagdale holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

The matter has been listed on Board on urgent request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Mrs. Jagdale requested for an adjournment because Mr. Bandiwadekar is unable to attend the Tribunal today.


It seems that this OA is already listed for filing Affidavit-in-reply to 11th April, 2016. However, it seems that some kind of a meeting is scheduled for tomorrow i.e. 2.4.2016 to consider the promotions to the post of Director of Town Planning. Regard being had to the state of affairs such as they are. In the first place, even as I am conscious of the fact that this OA has been got listed on a date which it was not adjourned to and the Affidavit-in-reply are still awaited. However, it will have to be made sure that the Applicants are not irretrievably prejudiced. The learned Advocates for the Applicant had been seeking urgent relief which has not been granted so far.

Taking all aspects of the matter into consideration, I direct that this OA is pending before this Tribunal and whatever decisions are taken or steps adopted will be subject to the ultimate outcome hereof and none of the Respondents in the ultimate analysis would be permitted to adopt a stand which would

[P.T.O.]

amount to forcing the state of *fait-accomplis*.
The Applicant also will not be allowed to do
so. But at the moment, it is not the
Applicant who is going to hold the meeting.

Recording these facts and making it
clear that whatever is done henceforth
pending OA, will be subject to the outcome
hereof. All concerned shall take a note of this
order. The OA remains adjourned to 11th
April, 2016. Hamdast and / or Steno-copy.


01.04.16

(R.B. Malik)
Member (J)
01.04.2016

(skw)

DATE: 1/4/2016

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri ^{R.B. Malik} M. Ramchandra (Member)-A]

APPEARANCE:

Adv. M.R. Patil with
Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise for.....


C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3.

Adv. V.K. Jagdale holding for adv. A.V.

Adj. To Bhandiwadekar for R. to 4.

order passed separately.

Adj to 11/4/2016. Hamdast and/
or steno-copy.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K R Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A J Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/16</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.04.2016.</p> <p><u>O.A. No.194 of 2016</u></p> <p>Mr. Chandrakant Janu Kamble & Ors. ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time till Tuesday to ascertain as to how his case impugned dated 13.08.2015, Exhibit 'H' page no. 191 is sustainable in the light of G.R dated 23.12.2015, Exhibit 'L' page no. 202.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/4/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>U. Bhosle</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Head</u></p> <p><i>orders passed in Tribunal Column, SO no 6/5/16.</i></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 01.04.2016.</p> <p><u>O.A. No.151 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Dilip Tukaram JagtapApplicant Vs. The Secretary (Finance), Mumbai & 2 Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. Reply to each and every point and paragraph be answered.</p> <p>4. Respondents should point out as to how Applicant's case is different from the Applicants in O.A.s referred to in paragraph No.6 of the O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.5.2016.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/16</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>R. M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant; Shri/Smt. : <u>K. S. Gaike</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Hced</u> order passed in Tribunal column, order corrected accordingly and corrected copy be issued.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.700 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri Bhaguji Shankar Murhe ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaike, the learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Case is moved today for speaking to the minutes of order dated 17.03.2016.</p> <p>3. In paragraph Nos. 2 and 3 of the order, reference has occurred as 'S.P. Kolhapur' as follows:-</p> <p>"2. The office of D.G. shall issue suitable instructions to the <u>S.P.</u> Kolhapur within three days from today.</p> <p>3. Action on the part of <u>S.P.</u> Kolhapur shall be taken within 10 days thereafter."</p> <p>4. The word "S.P." appearing in paragraph nos.2 & 3 of order dated 17.3.2016 be deleted, and word "Special I.G." be inserted in that place.</p> <p>5. Order dated 17.03.2016 be corrected accordingly and corrected copy be issued.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>sba</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>14/16</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Pawar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>28/4/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>1</u></p>	<p>Date : 01.04.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No.380 of 2014</u></p> <p>Shri Shivaji Vithoba ShelarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for four weeks time for completing certain official steps.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.4.2016.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi,) Chairman </div> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 11/4/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.P.O / Presenting Officer for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. Heard. order passed in Tribunal column M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p>	<p>Date : 01.04.2016.</p> <p><u>M.A.No.139 of 2016 in O.A.No.755 of 2015</u></p> <p>Shri Dilip Pandharinath Dhone ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that this application become infructuous.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>sba</p>