

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.226/2017
(Baliram Sopanrao Kakde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In terms of order dated 29-01-2019, learned P.O. submits that Mr. O.S.Sadhvani, Commissioner, Food & Drugs Department, M.S., Mumbai who has passed the earlier orders is now superannuated, and therefore, no explanation can be sought from him on the line of the said order.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15-03-2019 for further hearing.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2019
(Dr. Kishor A. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 01.03.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in view of the fact that the enquiry on the present applicant is going on connecting earlier election. She has received instruction that the present applicant was transferred and the impugned order of transfer was passed.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard and to file additional affidavit in this regard.

4. At his request, S.O. to 06.03.2019 for filing additional affidavit.

VICE CHAIRMAN

kpb ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 95/2019 in O.A. No. 166/2019
(The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Vs. Jalamsingh D. Valvi)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 01.03.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the applicants in the present M.A. (Respondents in O.A.). Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent (Original applicant) **(Leave Note)**.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in O.A. Same is taken on record.

3. Presently there is no need to interfere in the ex-party interim relief granted in O.A. No. 166/2019 on 26.02.2019.

4. S.O. to 24.04.2019 for further hearing, if any.

VICE CHAIRMAN

kpb ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2019
(Dr. Kishor A. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 01.03.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that in view of the fact that the enquiry on the present applicant is going on connecting earlier election. She has received instruction that the present applicant was transferred and the impugned order of transfer was passed.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions in this regard and to file additional affidavit in this regard.

4. At his request, S.O. to 06.03.2019 for filing additional affidavit.

VICE CHAIRMAN

kpb ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION StttHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2017
(Shri Sandip K. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 01.03.2019.

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he has received record. He will have to go through the said record and will point the documents filed by the present applicant from this record before the Sub Divisional Officer, Sillod on the line of the order of this Tribunal dated 29.01.2019. Besides this, both the parties are heard.

4. In this circumstances, S.O. to 12.03.2019 for further hearing.

5. The present matter is to be treated as part heard.

VICE CHAIRMAN

kpb ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.83/2019
(Dr. Baburao S. Kadole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 11.03.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.190/2019
(Dr. Chandrakant B. Lamture V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 02-04-2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 02.04.2019

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.191/2019
(Prashant J. Bachhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that he would take instructions from the concerned Respondents regarding the impugned order.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that till date the Applicant is not relieved from the said post.

4. In the circumstances, status quo in taking charge and handing over the post is hereby granted until further order.

5. At the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 6.3.2019 for hearing or for issuing notice.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.85/2019
(Arun S. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Girish Wani, learned Advocate holding for Shri Mangesh G. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the office objection would be removed within a period of one week.

3. Upon removing of the office objection, issue notices to the Respondents returnable on 8.4.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.92/2019
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.189/2019
(Nivrutti K. Lote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents in Misc. Application returnable on 8.4.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

MISC. APPLICATION NO.89/2019

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 43/2019

(Gunaji D. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kiran B. Dantal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondents in M.A. and O.A. returnable on 3.4.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 03.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

MISC. APPLICATION ST. NO.1819/2018

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1820/2018

(Bajaji M. Shinde & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Deepak S. Manorkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 18.03.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

MISC. APPLICATION ST. NO.151/2019

IN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 152/2019

(Venkat V. Manule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsusndar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order dated 20.02.2019, learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the communication is received from the concerned Respondent. She files on record a copy of the same and copy thereof has been served on the Advocate for the Applicant. The same is taken record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. S.O. to 18.03.2019 for hearing on the line of the communication and earlier order.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.421/2019
(Nilesh W. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since office objection has not removed, case be removed from the board.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**MISC. APPLICATION NO.411/2018
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.26/2017
(Nilesh W. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Since office objection has not removed, case be removed from the board.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.990/2018
(Gaurishankar P. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he will file affidavit-in-rejoinder during the course of the day.

3. S.O. to 13.03.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.53/2019
(Dilip R. Pande & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.71/2019
(Anant N. Ballal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.926/2017
(Manaji V. Surose V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.1, S.O. to 08.04.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.29/2019
(Ashok S. Darunte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shivkumar K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 05.03.2019. The present matter be placed with O.A.No.763/2018.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.451/2018
(Bhagwat Narayan Pujari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

None appeared for the Applicant. Heard Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Certain issues as raised in affidavit-in-reply were not argued before the Tribunal.

3. In the circumstances, Original Application be treated as de-part-heard. Be placed for re-hearing.

4. S.O. to 12.03.2019.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO.32/2017 with O.A.No.199/2017 and O.A.No.610/2017
(Smt. Subhadrabai N. Sonwane & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shivkumar K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents in respective cases. Shri M.K. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5 in O.A.No.610/2017.

2. Both sides submit that the issue involved in the present O.A. is pending before the Hon'ble High Court and decision is awaited.

3. At the request of both sides, S.O. to 4.4.2019 for reporting progress in the Writ Petition.

VICE CHAIRMAN

SAS ORAL ORDER 01.03.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 705 OF 2018
(Shri Akbar Khan Nadar Khan Pathan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 07.03.2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 758/2015 WITH REV. 3/2016 IN O.A. 63/2016
(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the present cases be kept together.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment in both the cases. It is to be noted that since 11.01.2019 for three times earlier similar requests were made. It thus appears that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present both cases. However, solely at the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 26.03.2019 for hearing, failing which the necessary orders of dismissal in default would be passed.

4. S.O. to 26.03.2019 for hearing or for passing order of dismissal in default.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 94/2019 IN O.A.NO. 95/2017
(Shri Balu J. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Avinash K. Shejwal, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 13.03.2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 930 OF 2018
(Shri Dnyanoba B. Panchal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri P.M. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. S.O. to 25.03.2019 for filing acknowledgment of notices served on respondent Nos. 2 to 7.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 110 OF 2019
(Shri Ashok M. Randhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that there are no instructions from the respondent No. 2 on the line of the order dated 06.02.2019. In the circumstances, at the request of learned Presenting Officer, as a last chance, S.O. to 20.03.2019 for taking instructions from respondent No. 2, failing which penal action may be taken against the defaulting officer

3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 14/18 IN O.A.893/11 WITH C.P. 15/18 IN O.A.892/11
(Shri Ramrao D. Misal & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that no instructions could be received from any of the respondents. On the last date itself learned Presenting Officer made a statement that the order is complied with and copy of communication to that effect was also placed on record.

3. In view of the statement made earlier by the learned Presenting Officer, both the contempt petitions are hereby disposed of without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 878 OF 2018
(Shri Dilip T. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 27.03.2019, as a last chance, for filing affidavit in reply.

3. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 697 OF 2018
(Shri Mahesh B. Chavan & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 would be filed. He undertakes to serve copy of the same on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 04 OF 2019
(Shri Govind P. Gite Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri A.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 19.03.2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 958 OF 2018
(Shri Ramprasad S. Lahoti Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN
AND
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

DATE : 01.03.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in rejoinder and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that presently affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not necessary.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 08.03.2019.

MEMBER (A)

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORAL ORDERS 01.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 03 OF 2019
(Munsab Kha Faridkhan Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Saiyed Rahatali Jafar Ali (Jahagirdar), learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

1) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of applicant's first representation dated 05.10.2016.

2) Copies of representations dated 05.10.2016, 03.10.2017 not filed with O.A.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 03 OF 2019

of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 03/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 04 OF 2019
(Habibkhan M. Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Syd Rahatali Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

- 1) In synopsis year of retirement is wrong.
- 2) Applicant's representation dated 13.02.2017 is not filed with O.A. and representation dated 06.06.2018 is not mentioned in O.A.
- 3) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation from the date of applicant's representation dated 13.02.2017.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 04/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 05 OF 2019
(Shri Punjaji M. Shinde Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Syd Rahatali Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

1) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of applicant's representation dated 13.02.2017.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objection.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objection in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not attend the

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 05 OF 2019

Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 05/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objection.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objection by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 06 OF 2019
(Shri Uttam T. Padme Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Syd Rahatali Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

- 1) Year of retirement in synopsis is wrong.
- 2) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation from the date of applicant's representation dated 29.03.2017.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 06 OF 2019

applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 06/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 07 OF 2019
(Shri Shankar S. Lande Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Syd Rahatali Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

- 1) In synopsis year of retirement is wrong.
- 2) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of date of retirement dated 30.06.2015.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 07 OF 2019

applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 07/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 08 OF 2019
(Azimkha Faridkha Pathan Vs. The State of Maharashtra and Others.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : HON'BLE JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.

DATE : 01.03. 2019.

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Shri Syd Rahatali Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 22.01.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 15.12.2018 the office has raised the following office objections:

-

- 1) In synopsis year of retirement is wrong.
- 2) Copy of representation dated 03.10.2017 is not filed with O.A.
- 3) O.A. appears to be barred by limitation in view of date of retirement dated 31.03.2014.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections.

4. Technically the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared

CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 08 OF 2019

for the applicant in view of the objections in spite of repeated chances. The learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he could not attend the Tribunal due to his personal difficulty and, therefore, the registration was refused. The fact that the O.A. is for benefits of Modified Assured Career Progression Scheme i.e. two promotional pay scale along with interest and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate.

5. In view of the above, I pass the following order:

O R D E R

(i) Chamber Appeal No. 08/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections.

(ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place this matter before the appropriate Bench for hearing after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 49/2018
(Ravindra V. Sapkale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

None appears for the applicant. Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 09.4.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 548/2018
(Smt. Ganga R. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. no.
4. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 26.3.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any, and also for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 640/2018
(Bhimrao A. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri D.R. Shelke, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 **(absent)**.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 2.4.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757/2018
(Shujang S. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks leave to file fresh affidavit in reply of the respondents by withdrawing their affidavit in reply filed on record earlier. He submits that fresh affidavit in reply of the respondents would be filed within a period of one week. Permission as sought for is granted. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.3.2019 for filing fresh affidavit in reply by the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822/2018
(Virendra P. Dhivare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 27.3.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911/2018
(Dr. Sanjay P. Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities, is present.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 8.4.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any, and also for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 411/2018 IN O.A. 261/2017
(Shivram Y. Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 13.3.2019 for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 474/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1927/2018
(Babasaheb B. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 8.4.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 508/2018 IN O.A. ST. 3025/2018
(Anant S. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of respondents. On earlier occasion also time was sought for filing affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 27.3.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 14/2019 IN M.A. 289/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1216/2018
(Smt. Sangita S. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dushyant Bhapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By the present misc. application the applicant is seeking condonation of 80 days delay caused in filing present misc. application for restoration of M.A. no. 289/2018 to its original position, which has been dismissed in default in view of contents recorded by the Tribunal in para no. 7 of the order dtd. 10.9.2018.

3. For the reasons stated in the present M.A. the delay of 80 days caused in its filing for restoration of M.A. no. 289/2018 is condoned and accordingly the M.A. no. 289/2018 is restored to its original file.

4. In the circumstances, present M.A. no. 14/2019 is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 289/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1216/2018
(Smt. Sangita S. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Dushyant Bhapkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amol Gandhi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By passing separate order in M.A. no. 14/2019 the present M.A. is restored to its original file.
3. Issue notice to respondents in the present M.A., returnable on 11.4.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 11.4.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228/2017
(Maroti S. Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 1.3.2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vivek U. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities.

2. Learned P.O. submits that he would take instructions from the concerned respondent as to whether there was any condition in the advertisement regarding registration of crime and whether in the application form the said information was sought from the candidates including the present applicant. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 13.3.2019 for placing on record above information by the learned P.O. and also for hearing.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 1-3-2019