

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.257/18</u></p> <p>Shri S.K. Nara ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale</u>, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the directions are sought to sanction leave of the Applicant from 05.06.1997 till 30.06.2011 with all consequential service benefits and for grant of terminal benefits. It seems that the Applicant was absent on duty for the period of near about 14 years and during that period itself, he attained the age of superannuation. In such situation, even retirement order has also not passed. The Applicant has made representation to regularize his absence period and for grant of pension, but it was not responded. Ultimately, he has filed the present O.A.</p> <p>3. Today, the matter is for hearing. During the course of hearing, it was transpired that Respondent No.2 by his letter dated 03.12.2018 addressed to Respondent No.1 requested the Government to pass appropriate order about his absence period. Whereas, the Civil Surgeon, Pune has recommended to treat the said period as a break in service as seen from the contents of letter dated 03.12.2018. However, till date, no decision has been taken by Respondent No.2, and therefore, the matter is stuck at the level of Respondents 2 to 4. Unless the decision pertaining to</p>

leave period is taken, the authorities are not able to process the matter for grant of pension, if found eligible.

4. The learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicant had joined the service in 1984, and therefore, he has completed qualified service for grant of retiral benefits, even if the period of absence onward 05.06.1997 is not regularized by the Government.

5. In view of above, it is obligatory on the part of Respondent No.1 to take appropriate decision on the proposal dated 03.12.2018 forwarded by Respondent No.2 so that further action should follow.

6. Presently, the Applicant is 64 years old and still has not received retiral benefits. The Respondent No.1 is required to take note of it and to pass appropriate order.

7. In view of above, the Respondent No.1 is directed to take decision on the proposal dated 03.12.2018 within four weeks from today and communicate the same to the Tribunal, so that O.A. can be decided on merit.

8. S.O. to 28th March, 2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

28.02.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 102 OF 2019

DISTRICT : PUNE

Ms Priyanka. P Gedam)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **01.03.2019**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer states that so far instructions are awaited and three weeks' time may be granted.
3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to state as to whether any Officer from the office of the Respondent no. 1 has contacted the office of C.P.O.
4. Learned Presenting Officer states that so far none has approached the office of Chief Presenting Officer.

5. Learned Presenting Officer is called to furnish the name of Chief Secretary, G.A.D. Learned Presenting Officer has furnished it as Shri Shivaji Raghunath Dound.

6. In the premises that none has looked into the matter and that the notice of this Tribunal is neglected, Shri Shivaji Raghunath Dound, is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs. Shri S.R Dound, is also directed to enquire and furnish the names of officers who are responsible for failing to bring to his notice requirement to attend to present O.A and also as to failure to instruct the office of C.P.O.

7. Reply to show cause notice be filed on or before 12.3.2019.

8. Reply to Original Application, if any be filed on or before next date.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O to 12.3.2019.


Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 01.03.2019
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.10/19</u></p> <p>Shri V.G. Chandorkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Speaking to Minutes</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 18.02.2019 by giving direction to conclude DE within stipulated period. Today, the matter is taken on Board for Speaking to Minutes contending that the submission made by learned P.O. was not recorded in the order dated 18.02.2019.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O, therefore, requested to add following sentence in operative order dated 18.02.2019.</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"The P.O. submits that the Officer has went for affirmation and they will file Affidavit during the course of the day."</p> <p>4. The leaned P.O. submitted that she made above statement before the Court, but it was not incorporated in order, and therefore, it be included.</p> <p>5. While dictating the order dated 18.2.2019, this Tribunal observed that in its opinion, filing of detailed</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

reply is not necessary, as the matter can be disposed of on the oral submission. Accordingly, on hearing the Counsels, the matter was disposed of.

6. The learned P.O. want to show her preparedness of filing reply by incorporating the aforesaid statement in the order, though it will not make any difference as the matter was already decided on oral submission.

7. In view of above, Speaking to Minutes allowed and the sentence be added as Para No.3(a).

N
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member-J
01.03.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/2/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. G. A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N. P. Dalvi Ld. Special C.P.O. for the Respondents MPSC-Respondent Counsel</p> <p>Adj. To 8/11/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.790 of 2018 with O.A. No.482 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.R. Thok R.J. Shelke ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Special Counsel for MPSC-Respondent.</p> <p>2. Shri Dalvi, Ld. Special Counsel for MPSC-respondent mentions that short reply submitted by him earlier and on record is adequate. He further requests that the matter is under consideration before Hon'ble High Court and the pronouncement may be expected in the next few weeks. He, therefore, pleads that the matter may be adjourned to 8.4.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Abhijit Pawar</u> Ld. holding (sgj) for Shri <u>D.B. Khair</u> Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Ld. C.P.O / P.O for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Admit.</u> <u>To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.833 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Abhijit Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.890 of 2018

B.N. Nagre ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 25.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

(sg)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate for
the applicant are absent
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To..... 25/3/2019 for file reply.

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.823 & 824 of 2018

S.R. Gaikwad
Y.R. Patil ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 11.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. S.R. Ghanavat Ld. Adv. (sgj)
holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 11/3/2019 for reply.
P.T.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.764 of 2018

N.S. Shinde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time for filing reply.
- 3. S.O. to 15.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 15/3/2019

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.417 of 2018

S.G. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- 2. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
- 3. S.O. to 14.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019.

(sgj)

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate for the applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 14/3/2019 to file reply.

BT

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Admit.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.327 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.M. Kathe & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p>(Sgi)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. K. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S.R. Ghanavat Ld. Adv. holding for Shri P.P. Deokar Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar Ld. C.P.O./Pec for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 15/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.322 of 2016</u></p> <p>N.S. Nana ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.P. Deokar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Anil Jaiswar Ld. Adv. holding Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Miss S. P. Mancharkar Ld. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 4. Shri N.P. Dalvi Ld. special counsel Adv. to For R.No. 5.</p> <p>O.A. is dismissed with no order (sgj) as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.Te</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.315 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.R. Mithapalli ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Miss S.P. Mancharkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Special Counsel for Respondent No.5.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that as per instructions received by him he prefers orders from this Tribunal. Ld. Advocate for the applicant, however, fails to demonstrate any breach of the rights which hurts him in the impugned order.</p> <p>3. Ld. Special Counsel for respondent no.5 has already submitted that respondent no.5 is duty bound to obey in letter and spirit the orders issued by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. As there is no material before this Tribunal to adjudicate, the OA is dismissed with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.765 of 2018

S.H. Pawar ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: Anil Jaiswar Ld. adv. holding (sgj)

R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Miss S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Admit

To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A.R.A./C.A.No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 1/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: S.R. Deshpande Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Admit.</p> <p>To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BDT</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.976 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Rode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Sj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeahi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. DIXIT</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>G.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/3/2019 for filing reply.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BTE</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1002 of 2018</u></p> <p>Y.M. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N/ Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.324 of 2018

D.A. Dalvi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks adjournment to find out the instructions and file reply suitably.

3. S.O. to 11.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
P.N. Dixit
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate
for the applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Ms. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Ad. to 11/3/2019 to find out the instructions and file reply suitably.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 11/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Babu (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S.L. Bhapkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 15/3/2019</p>	<p><u>O.As. No.944 & 945 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.V. Gholap S.R. Nikam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks adjournment for two weeks for intimating the progress regarding decision regarding the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgl)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>BK</i></p>

Date : 01.03.2019

O.A.No.64 of 2019

S.R. Jadhav

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A. applicant is seeking directions for deemed date of promotion who is due to retire in June 2019.


3. Reply is yet not filed. However learned P.O. has tendered copy of letter dated 28.02.2019 written by the office of Respondent No.2, addressed to the learned P.O. informing that as per order passed by this Tribunal proposal for grant of promotion as per entitlement is under consideration and it will be decided soon.

4. It thus appears that the issue is under consideration before the Department and it is likely to be redressed soon. As the applicant is retiring in June 2019 matter needs to be expedited.

5. Learned P.O. is also directed to take instructions from the Department about the present progress of the matter referred in the letter dated 28.02.2019 and also to file reply on the next date without fail.

6. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 01.03.2019

O.A.No.1079 of 2018

D.M. Atre Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. In the present matter applicant is seeking directions for grant of benefit of Time Bound Promotion / Assured Career Progression Scheme.

3. Whereas learned P.O. has filed short affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 stating that the proposal forwarded by the Collector, Nashik dated 02.01.2019 is under consideration of the Government and the decision will be taken soon.

4. On the other hand learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant emphasis that the matter is already decided by the Hon'ble High Court on the similar line and hence applicant is entitled to the benefits of Time Bound Promotion / Assured Career Progression Scheme.

5. Request made by learned P.O. for four week's cannot be accepted as the matter in issue seems to have been decided by the higher judicial forum.

6. If Respondents wants to consider the proposal of Collector they should take the decision thereon without loss of time so that the grievance of the applicant is redressed or Respondents can file affidavit-in-reply if they want to contest the matter on merit.

7. In view of the above one week time is granted to learned P.O. to take instructions from the Department and also to file reply.

8. S.O. to 08.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 01.03.2019

O.A.No.156 of 2019

G.J. Vighne & Ors. Applicants
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents. spm

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.03.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.86 of 2019 in O.A.No.156 of 2019</p> <p>G.J. Vighne & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common and concurrent, application for leave to sue jointly is allowed subject to Applicants' paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Miscellaneous Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.40 of 2019</p> <p>L.M. Donikar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra Respondent</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad., the learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondent has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant one week's time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if desires.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1102 of 2018</p> <p>D.N. Tonde Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.3 though served is absent and none appeared on his behalf.</p> <p>3. Today learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission on 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.51 of 2019</p> <p>P.R. Parit Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents submitted that affidavit-in-reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Statement is accepted. Affidavit-in-reply be taken on record.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission on 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.639 of 2018</p> <p>T.M. Mujuwale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. K.M. Pawar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Respondent No.4 has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate Ms. K.M. Pawar for the Applicant one week time is granted for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 01.03.2019

O.A.No.508 of 2017

P.R. Ghorpade Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A. Applicant is seeking direction for retiral benefits. Applicant stands retired in 2014. After his retirement ^{Charge Sheet} charges in Departmental Enquiry has been issued on 26.04.2017. Applicant has submitted his reply to the charge-sheet and Departmental Enquiry has been completed. The Enquiry Officer has concluded the enquiry and submitted the report on 20.06.2018.

3. Perusal of report which is from page 183 to 229 reveals that though at one place enquiry officer held that the charges are partly proved, in final conclusion he stated that the applicant cannot be held guilty. At the same time in the report it is stated that as the applicant retired on 30.06.2014 it is for the disciplinary authority to consider whether the enquiry is permissible under Rule 27(3) of the Maharashtra Civil Service (Pension) Rules, 1982.

4. Though enquiry officer has submitted the report on 20.06.2018 till date no final decision by the disciplinary authority seems to have been taken. When the specific question was raised learned P.O. submitted that she is not aware about any further decision taken by the disciplinary authority in the matter.

5. In view of the above, learned P.O. is directed to take instruction and inform the Tribunal about the same.

6. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale also seeks two week's time to take instructions from his client.

7. S.O. to 15.03.2019.


Sd/-


(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.927 of 2018 with O.A.No.908 of 2018</p> <p>D.M. Bhosale (O.A.927/2018) A.J. Sayyad (O.A.908/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.K. Hande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.908/2018, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondent and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondent. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.NO.927/2018 is absent.</p> <p>2. In both the matters affidavit-in-reply is filed and the matter is kept for hearing. Both the matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. Interim reply is continued till next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.03.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1057 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. Patil Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Bhavake, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter applicant has basically challenged suspension order dated 18.05.2018. However, during the pendency of this O.A, the Government took review of the suspension and decided to continue the suspension. It is on the above background learned Advocate Shri P.S. Bhavake is seeking permission to amend the O.A. so as to challenge communication dated 07.02.2019 thereby decision of contention of suspension has been conveyed to him.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri P.S. Bhavake has also placed on record draft of proposed amendment. It being subsequent event permits permission needs to be granted to the Applicant to amend the O.A. and to challenge communication dated 07.02.2019. Amendment be carried out forthwith.</p> <p>4. Respondents is at liberty to file additional reply to the amended pleadings. Two week's time is granted to the Respondents to file additional affidavit-in-reply. Impugned communication dated 07.02.2019 be taken on record and paging be done as '18A'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A.306/16</u></p> <p>Shri S.B. Pardeshi ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Advocate and his Advocate absent. Heard Mrs. A.B. Kololgi, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was called out in the morning, but the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, and therefore, it was kept in second session.</p> <p>3. However, in 2nd session also, the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. As such, the^{they} seems not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the O.A. stands dismissed inⁱⁿ default. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">M Sd/- w~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 01.03.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1-3-19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S. D. Dhongade</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryavanshi</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>order passed in tribunal column</u> <u>dt/S.O. to</u> <u>14-3-19</u></p> <p><u>Sonhad</u></p>	<p><u>01.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>R.A 12/2017 in O.A No 808/2016</u></p> <p>Shri J.M Gurav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryvanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend to substitute the memo of Review.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed for is granted. Substituted R.A be kept ready on next date.</p> <p>4. S.O to 14.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi(J.) Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 102 OF 2019

DISTRICT : PUNE

Ms Priyanka. P Gedam)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

DATE : **01.03.2019**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri Chetan R. Nagare, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer states that so far instructions are awaited and three weeks' time may be granted.
3. Learned Presenting Officer was called to state as to whether any Officer from the office of the Respondent no. 1 has contacted the office of C.P.O.
4. Learned Presenting Officer states that so far none has approached the office of Chief Presenting Officer.

5. Learned Presenting Officer is called to furnish the name of Chief Secretary, G.A.D. Learned Presenting Officer has furnished it as Shri Shivaji Raghunath Dound.

6. In the premises that none has looked into the matter and that the notice of this Tribunal is neglected, Shri Shivaji Raghunath Dound, is called to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddied with costs. Shri S.R Dound, is also directed to enquire and furnish the names of officers who are responsible for failing to bring to his notice requirement to attend to present O.A and also as to failure to instruct the office of C.P.O.

7. Reply to show cause notice be filed on or before 12.3.2019.

8. Reply to Original Application, if any be filed on or before next date.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

10. S.O to 12.3.2019.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai

Date : 01.03.2019

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

OA No.40/18 with MA No.214/18, OA No.41/18 with MA No.216/18, OAs No.646/17, 57/18, 161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 357/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 443/18, 510/18, 512/18, 389/18, 395/18 659/18, 662/18, 664/18 and 720/18

M.C. Patil & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.40/18, 41/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 389/18, 395/18), Shri G.S. Shukla, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.646/17, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.57/18, 443/18, 659/18 & 850/18), Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs. No.161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 510/18, 512/18, 662/18, 664/18 & 720/18), Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.357/18, Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents, Shri N.P. Dalvi, Ld. Special Counsel for MPSC, Ms. Vaishali Maindad, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Intervenor in OAs No.41/18, 57/18, 381/18 & 443/18.

2. Shri Dalvi, Ld. Special Counsel for MPSC states that he proposes to file his reply shortly. He further states that similar matters have been kept for hearing on 8.4.2019.

3. S.O. to 8.4.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.3.2019

DATE: 11/3/2019

CORAM

Hon'ble Justice (Chairman)

Hon'ble P.N. Dixit (Member) A

FOR

Shri A.R. Rathod Ld. Adv. for appls. <O.A. 41, 41, 347, 348, 349, 389 & 395/18>

Shri G.S. Shukla Ld. Adv. for Applicant C.P.O. No. 646/17

Shri C.T. Chandratre Ld. Adv. for Appls.

Adv. to <O.A. 57, 443, 659 & 850/18>

Shri C.R. Nagare Ld. Adv. for the appls.

<O.A. 161, 163, 332, 361, 381, 382, 383, 425, 510, 512, 662, 664 & 720/18>

Shri K.R. Jagdale Ld. Adv. for the appls. <O.A. 357/18>

Miss S.P. Manchekar Ld. C.P.O. & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld. P.O. for Resps. Shri N.P. Dalvi Ld. Special Counsel for MPSC.

Ms. Vaishali Maindad Ld. Adv. holding for Shri A.A. Desai Ld. Adv. for Intervenor.

<O.A. 41, 57, 381 & 443/18>

S.O. to 8/4/2019.

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Mr. <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/ <u>A.R. Rathod</u></p> <p>Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Sra. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA's are disposed off accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>for</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>MA No.215/2018 in OA No.40/2018 with</u> <u>MA No.217/2018 in OA No.41/2018</u></p> <p>M.C. Patil P.D. Omase ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By these MAs the applicant seeks leave to amend the OAs.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in the MAs the amendment is allowed and MAs are disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Shri B.P. Patil (MBJ) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri Aditya Bhagat holding Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 6/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1062 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.A. Palve & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aditya Bhagat, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that she needs time to get approval from the MPSC-Respondent.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.3.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>