

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.869 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>3. The matter pertains to non grant of retiral benefits. The Applicant stands retired in 30.04.2015 but still retiral dues are not released. It appears that the retiral dues were withheld due to pending of D.E.. However, perusal of the record reveals that D.E. bearing No.SR-01/2012 has already been decided by order dated 15.03.2018 and the Applicant has been exonerated.</p> <p>4. However, in final order of D.E., there is reference that in respect of one matter of excess payment at Chandoli Forest, enquiry is pending and the present D.E. has been closed subject to keeping that enquiry open.</p> <p>5. Perusal of Affidavit-in-Reply filed today reveals that No Due certificate / No D.E. Pending Enquiry Certificate has not been yet issued because of said reference of enquiry relating to Chandoli Forest.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. As the Applicant stands retired in 2015, the question would arise how another D. E. after lapse of four years after retirement could be initiated and how the retiral benefits can be withheld.</p> <p>7. Learned P.O. submitted that she would apprise the Collector, Sangli about these observations made above and will make a statement before the Tribunal on the next date. According to her, the Collector has formed one Committee to decide the issue of initiation of another D.E. and the Committee has not yet taken any decision in this regard.</p> <p>8. As the Applicant stands retired in 2015, the retiral benefits needs to be released forthwith subject to issuance of No Due Certificate and other compliances. Therefore, this matter needs to be expedited for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. is directed to take appropriate instructions from the Respondents and to apprise the Tribunal about the decision of the Committee in respect of proposed second D.E.</p> <p>10. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 06.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.406 of 2018</p> <p>M. S. FaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. S. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P.Mancheke , learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned C.P. O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2. Respondent No.4 is Private Respondent, he is served but absent.</p> <p>3. S.o.to 08.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) Hon'ble <u>Shri P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: <u>M. A. Jadhav</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt.: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Admit.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.397 of 2018 with M.A. No.280 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.K. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.A. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 in MA and on behalf of respondent no.2 in OA. The same are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.05 of 2019</p> <p>V. A. KoliApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. In this O.A., the widow of deceased employee has claimed interest on payment of delayed death gratuity. The husband of the Applicant died on 03.05.2009. However, Rs.2,19,285/- has been paid as a death gratuity on 29.12.2009 where in fact, she was entitled for death gratuity of Rs.4,38,570/- remaining amount of Rs.2,19,285/- has been paid to the Applicant on 07.08.2018. The Applicant has not paid interest on delayed payment of death gratuity of Rs.2,19,285/-</p> <p>2. The Respondents opposes the application contending that as the provisional gratuity has been paid to the Applicant, she is not entitled for interest. Besides it is contended that the application is not within limitation.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>4. In so far as the point of limitation is concerned, needless to mention that it is being the case of continuous cause of action, the point of limitation does not survive, as admittedly only 50% death gratuity was paid and remaining 50% amount was paid after about nine years. As such, the Applicant was deprived from utilizing the said amount for about nine years.</p> <p>5. Furthermore, the said payment of Rs.2,19,285/- was made on 07.08.2018 and this O.A. has been filed on 02.01.2019 well within one year from the date of payment. Suffice to say that the question of limitation does not survive.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Court, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned P.O. placing reliance on Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) (Amendment) Rules, 2008 sought to contend that as the provisional gratuity has already paid, the Applicant is not entitled to interest.</p> <p>7. Whereas the learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that this is not the case of payment of provisional gratuity but the wrong calculation of the gratuity and only 50% gratuity has been paid within time limit.</p> <p>8. As per Rule 129A of the Rules 2008, where the payment of retirement gratuity or death gratuity as the case may be is made beyond the period of three months from the date of retirement or death and it is clearly established that the delay in payment was attributed to administrative lapse, an interest at the rate applicable to General Provident Fund deposits shall be paid on the amount of gratuity, in respect of the period beyond three months. However, proviso says that no interest shall be payable in case, where provisional gratuity is paid.</p> <p>9. In present fact and circumstances, prima-facie it is not the case of provisional payment of death gratuity. The Applicant was entitled to death gratuity of Rs.4,38,570/- but only 2,19,285/- was paid and she had to wait for nine years for receiving remaining amount. Thus, this cannot be construed as provisional payment of death of gratuity.</p> <p>10. The submission of learned P.O. that the applicant has kept silent for nine years and therefore she is not entitled, is fallacious, it was for the department to release the correct amount of death gratuity as per entitlement.</p> <p>11. In view of above, the matter needs to be remitted back to Respondent No.1, being the administrative department, to see whether the applicant is entitled for interest on the delayed payment of death gratuity and to fix the responsibility of the concerned and to take further action as the case may be. If the Applicant is found entitled for interest, it be paid in accordance to rules. This exercised be carried out within two months from today.</p> <p>12. In case, the Applicant feels aggrieved by the decision, she is at liberty to avail remedy in accordance to law.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.40 of 2019 in O.A.No.897 of 2018</p> <p>V. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. I. Pathan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. No.40/2019 is made to restore the O.A.No.897/2018 which has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order passed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In fact, this is second M.A. for restoration of O.A., earlier also the O.A. has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order but it was restored in view of order passed in M.A.02/2019, dated 10.01.2019. However, again there is a failure to comply the condition order to collect the notices within seven days.</p> <p>4. Considering quite old age of learned Advocate, this M.A. No.40/2019 is allowed and O.A.No.897/2018 is restored in the file subject to payment of cost of Rs.500/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 01.02.2019.

Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.897 of 2018

V. V. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. I. Pathan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.40/2019 on payment of cost of Rs.500/-, this O.A.No.897/2018, which has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order of Service of Affidavit has been restored.
3. In view of restoration of O.A.897/2018, issue fresh notices before admission returnable on 01.03.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 01.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.949 of 2018</p> <p>S. K. SonawaneApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3. None for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.920 of 2017</p> <p>Or. S. S. ShahaApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. None for the Applicant. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents made a statement that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. 3. Statement is accepted. Reply be taken on record. 4. S. O. to 18.02.2019 for hearing. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.668 with 1004 of 2018</p> <p>A. R. Shaikh P. S. Tambe & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L. S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officers for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and the same is adopted ^{on behalf} in reply of Respondent No.3 also. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 01.02.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1132 of 2017</p> <p>U. V. More ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Original Application, the grievance pertains to payment of risk allowance for the period from 11.09.2014 to 25.02.2016.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered the office order (Which does not ^{bear} appear date but seems to have been of 2019), which shows that the office order has been already issued granting risk allowance for the period from 11.09.2014 to 25.02.2016.</p> <p>4. On behalf of the department Shri Jagdish Dagade, Law Officer, Protection & Security Division, Mumbai is present. He stated that the bill for submission in treasury is also prepared and the matter will be processed immediately.</p> <p>5. As the grievance of the Applicant being now redressed, learned P.O. submits that O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>6. In view of above, O.A. is disposed of with directions to the Respondents to release the payment of arrears of risk allowance from 11.09.2014 to 25.02.2016 within a month from today and it be communicated to the Applicant.</p> <p>7. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 01.02.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.444 of 2018 in O.A.No.795 of 2018</p> <p>V. N. GhatgeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Amit Hire holding for Shri A. S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent Nos. 1 to 5. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 15.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 513 OF 2017 with O.A 815/2017

DISTRICT : RATNAGIRI

Shri Hanumant B. Sonawane & Others [O.A 513/2017])
Shri S.M Patil [O.A 815/2017])...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...Respondents

Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents with
Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **01.02.2019**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for the applicants prays for leave to amend the O.A to enable the applicant to challenge the discriminatory treatment based on factual aspect of discrimination etc.
3. Learned advocate prays for leave to amend the O.A by way of substitution of entire paper book.
4. Leave as prayed for is granted.
5. Learned advocate prays for four weeks' time for substitution.



6. Upon substitution paper book of present O.A along with affidavit and rejoinder be removed and kept in second part.
7. Issue fresh notice after substitution returnable on 28.3.2019.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
9. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within 7 days after substitution or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
13. S.O to 28.3.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 01.02.2019
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> <u>11/2/2019</u> 11/2/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Son: <u>None for the appl.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Son: <u>Swati Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Ble</i></p>	<p><u>01.02.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 849/2018</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Saiunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 01.02.2019
<p>DATE: <u>1/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>Disposed of as withdrawn.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 895/2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Shivalkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Disposed of as withdrawn.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p><small>Akn</small></p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> </div> </div>

9

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 513 OF 2017 with O.A 815/2017 .

DISTRICT : RATNAGIRI

Shri Hanumant B. Sonawane & Others [O.A 513/2017])
Shri S.M Patil [O.A 815/2017])...Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...Respondents

Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents with
Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **01.02.2019**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned advocate for the applicants prays for leave to amend the O.A to enable the applicant to challenge the discriminatory treatment based on factual aspect of discrimination etc.
3. Learned advocate prays for leave to amend the O.A by way of substitution of entire paper book.
4. Leave as prayed for is granted.
5. Learned advocate prays for four weeks' time for substitution.



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>None for the appl.</u> Applicant Sd/- <u>H. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Hence OA is dismissed for want of prosecution.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>01.02.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 713 with 714/2016</u></p> <p>V.M Thakur [O.A 713/2016] V.V Thakur [O.A 714/2016]... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None for the applicants. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Applicant and advocate for applicants have remained absent for last three date. Hence O.A is dismissed for want of prosecution. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.1072 of 2018

P.N. Bande ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Ashok Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 13.2.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
1.2.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 11/2/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri A. P. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sa: Ashok Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sa: S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To.....13/2/2019.....

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>1/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi</u> (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Amit Hire</u> U.A.A. holding for <u>Shri Sandeep Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicants</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To..... <u>Admit</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>PH</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.992 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Shinde & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Amit Hire, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO states that reply from the respondents have been filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.2.2019.</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/<u>Ms.</u> <u>U.V. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/<u>Ms.</u> <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>8/2/2019</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>PHZ</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.552 of 2018 in O.A. No.931 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.V. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>11/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jaisi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>MS. Anushree Kurup</u> holding for Shri D.V. Sutar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>11/2/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.805 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.L. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anushree Kurup, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks three weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 1.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>