

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 01.02.2016.**

**O.A.No.676 of 2015**

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered rejoinder. It is taken on record.
3. Admit. O.A. shall be listed for final hearing in due course.

  
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)  
 Chairman

sba

**DATE:** 1/2/2016

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble. Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

~~Hon'ble. Justice Sri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

K.R. Jagdale

A.J. Chougule

Admit.

Adj. To... O.A. shall be listed for  
final hearing in due course.

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

**Tribunal's orders**

**Dated: 01.02.2016.**

**O.A.No.817 of 2015**

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Other Respondents should file affidavit on the question as to whether Applicant's mother tongue is Marathi.
3. Affidavit be filed within one week.
4. Affidavit need not be filed if the mother tongue is Marathi.
5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.
6. S.O. to 8.02.2016

**DATE:** 1/2/16

**CORAM:**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Nimeshkumar (Member) A

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere


Advocate for the Applicant,

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 8/2/2016

sba

  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

*BT*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>1/2/2016</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Sd/- Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>25/2/2016</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 01.02.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.47 of 2016 in O.A.No.112 of 2016</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that limited points involved in the case is as to whether Applicants service period as ad-hoc or temporary can be taken into consideration/reckoned while considering the length of service for grant of ACP.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Respondent No.2 is directed to file affidavit on following point:-</p> <p align="center">Whether the cases of the Applicants for ACP are considered after reckoning their service period as ad-hoc/temporary are not considered.</p> <p>4. Affidavit be filed on or before next date.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 25.02.2016.</p>

*(A.H. Joshi, J.)*  
Chairman

sba


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>1/2/16</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ratnesakumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt.: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> Agents for the Applicant (Org. Resp.) Shri/Smt.: <u>A.R. Joshi</u> C.P.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>24/2/16</u></p> <p align="right"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><b>Date : 01.02.2016.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>R.A.No.33 of 2015 in O.A.No.706 of 2014</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.R. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states after taking instructions from Shri Amol B. Funde, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department as follows:-</p> <p>(a) Two weeks time may be granted for making statement as to time required for payment of arrears payable to the Applicant excluding pension.</p> <p>(b) In so far as interest is concerned, a statement would be made on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 24.02.2016.</p> <p align="right">   <b>(A.H. Joshi (J.))</b>  <b>Chairman</b> </p> <p>sba</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 44) /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : - 4 FEB 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 909 OF 2015.  
(Sub-Promotion)**

1. Laxmikant S. Joshi,  
R/o. 496, Flat No. 2, Rivera Apt., Model Colony, Shivaji Nagar, Pune-16.  
....**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

- 1 The State of Maharashtra, Copy to 2 The Addl. Chief Secretary, P.W.D.,  
be served through the P.O., M.A.T., Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.  
Mumbai & 02 Ors.,  
3 The Addl. Chief Secretary, G.A.D.  
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.

...**RESPONDENT /S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 1<sup>st</sup> day of **February, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri V.P. Potbhare, Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande,  
Advocate for the Applicant.  
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.  
HON'BLE SHRI R.B. MALIK, MEMBER (J).**

DATE : **01.02.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Sachin*  
4/2/2016

Research officer,  
**Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.909 of 2015

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This OA is disposed off on 29.1.2016. The deponent of the affidavit in reply dated 4.12.2015 in the disposed off OA No.909 of 2015, Smt. Mridula Sanjeev Deshpande, Under Secretary, Public Works Department is present. She tenders an affidavit dated 12.2.2016 in deference to our order in the OA. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the Ld. CPO, who had drafted that affidavit is not present. Miss Gohad, Ld. PO is holding for him. The affidavit filed today expectedly attributes a major lapse in the affidavit in reply to mistake and such other expressions are used. The deponent thereof Smt. Deshpande tenders unconditional apology which she has already shown in the affidavit also. Regardless of whatever course of action we adopt we must record that the seriousness of the matter is not dawned on both the sides. The casualness is writ large in the affidavit in reply. We have already indicated in the order that the affidavits really are the evidence in such matters and on such an important piece of material elementary fact of which department the deponent was working in has been wrongly mentioned. If this mistake had not been detected then it would have further compounded the tragedy and the record would have given very poor view.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

NO: 112/16

COM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: V.P. Potlur  
Sd/- Pr. A.S. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Sd/- M.A. Gohad

Chief Secy. for the Respondents

order passed in  
the Tribunal's

order.

11/2/2016

*[Handwritten signature]*

3. However, as far as the point of punishment, if any, is concerned, the deponent submits that she has been recently promoted and she assures us that such a mistake shall not be committed again. In the ultimate analysis we must proceed on the basis that the repentance expressed is genuine. Recording our strong displeasure we accept the apology and treat the matter as closed. To be filed along with record and proceedings of the OA.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
1.2.16  
(R.B. Malik)  
Member (J)  
1.2.2016

*[Handwritten signature]*  
(Rajiv Agarwal)  
Vice-Chairman  
1.2.2016

(sgj)

TRUE COPY

*[Handwritten signature]*  
11/2/2016

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 1/2/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. B. MATHE (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.A.O. for the Respondents order passed in the Registrar's order. S.O. to 15/2/16.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>01.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 297/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed on behalf of Respondent no. 5. No reply has been filed on behalf of Respondents no 6 &amp; 7, i.e. State Government and Director of Vocational Education and Training respectively. Learned P.O states that he will inform this Tribunal whether any reply is to be filed on behalf of these two Respondents, on the next date.</p> <p>S.O to 15.2.2016.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>



IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 1/2-1/16</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. D. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Sd/- G. A. Bandiwadekar Advocate for Applicant Sd/- N. G. Gohad Sd/- _____ C.A. for the Respondents order passed in the Tribunal's column. Adj. to S.O. to 8/3/16.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">FH [Signature]</p>	<p><u>01.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 775/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>Affidavit in reply has been filed. Learned Advocate Bandiwadekar stated that he does not wish to file rejoinder. O.A is admitted.</p> <p>Place for final hearing on 8.3.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rajiv Agarwal (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE: 1/2/16</u></p> <p><u>BY:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) <del>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</del></p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>M.G. Gohad</u> C.A. &amp; P.O. for the Respondents <del>Registrar's concern</del> <del>Adv. to</del> <u>S.O. to 22/2/16. FH</u> <sup>Akn</sup></p>	<p><u>01.02.2016</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 840/2015</u></p> <p>Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>By order dated 11.1.2016, though last chance <sup>which</sup> was granted to the Respondents, had already expired, in the interest of justice, one more chance was given to the Respondents to file affidavit in reply. However, today also nobody is present on behalf of the Respondents. Learned P.O states that she has not received any instructions nor any para-wise comments. It appears that the Respondent is not interested in filing any affidavit in reply.</p> <p>O.A is admitted and kept for final hearing on 22.2.2016.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

Advocate .....

*coram*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Comm.,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 01.02.2016

M.A. No. 569/2015 in O.A. No. 1011/2015.

(1) Heard Shri U. Kurund, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

(2) At the request of the learned P.O adjourned to tomorrow for making a statement as to whether the post of Police Patil is filled.

(3) In case the selection process is over and candidate is appointed, copy of appointment order to be produced

(4) It is further ordered that in case the appointment is not issued, let the issue of order remain in abeyance only till next Monday

(5) S.O to 8.02.2016.

(6) Reply be filed in the mean time.

DATE: 12/1/16

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri \_\_\_\_\_ (Member) A-

ADVOCATE:

Shri \_\_\_\_\_


ADVOCATE FOR RESPONDENT:

Ms. \_\_\_\_\_

PRESENTING OFFICER - Respondent/s

Adj. To: 9/2/16

*B.R.*

  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

Psz

1/2/16

Writ Petition No. 142/15  
With C.A. No. 143 and 144/2015.

(D.B. Matter)

(1) Shri Y.P. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents-State.

(2) The learned P.O states as follows:

The Writ Petition filed by the state is expected to come on board today. However, he is not certain about the case being actually listed.

(3) The learned Advocate for the applicant states as follows:

In case the writ petition is not heard or stay is not granted, let the Respondent consider to reinstate the applicant in view of undertaking filed by the applicant in this Tribunal, which is at page 59 of the paper book.

(4) The learned P.O is granted time to inform the orders as may be passed by the Hon'ble High Court, or action if any in response to the aforesaid undertaking.

(5) S.O to 4.05.2016.

  
(A.H. Joshi)  
Chairman

DATE: 1/2/16 DIB

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri V. Ramesh Kumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Emt. : T.P. Deshmukh

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Emt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.S. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 4/3/16